# Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Report Phase II – Alternatives and Site Identification

#### Nantucket, Massachusetts

#### Volume I of II

Prepared for:

Nantucket Department of Public Works Nantucket, Massachusetts

Prepared by:

Earth Tech, Inc. 196 Baker Avenue Concord, Massachusetts 01742-2167

September 2003

27355

### Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Report Phase II –Alternatives and Site Identification Nantucket, Massachusetts

#### Volume I of II

Prepared for:

Nantucket Department of Public Works Nantucket, Massachusetts

Prepared by:

Earth Tech, Inc. 196 Baker Avenue Concord, Massachusetts 01742-2167

#### Dear Project Reviewer:

Enclosed please find one copy of the report entitled "Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan and Environmental Impact Report Phase II – Alternatives and Site Identification and Draft Environmental Impact Report" (Phase II Report) completed in accordance with the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's "Guide to Wastewater Management Planning" dated January 1996. The review of the Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan (CWMP)/Environmental Impact Report (EIR) will be through the submission of three documents including: (1) Phase I Report; (2) Phase II CWMP/Draft EIR; and (3) Phase III CWMP/Final EIR.

This Phase II Report is consistent with the general requirements of the MEPA regulations including being circulated per MEPA regulations at 301 CMR 11.16 (3). In addition, six (6) copies will be available for public review at the Selectmen and Town Clerk's Offices in the Town Hall, Department of Public Works, Nantucket Land Council, Nantucket Planning and Economic Development Commission and at the Antheneum (Public Library). The circulation list is included in Section 7 of the Phase II Report.

A 30-day public comment period on the Phase II Report will be initiated by a notice of availability fro review in the Environmental Monitor. Comments received will be considered in determining the extent to which issues were adequately addressed. If you have comments, please send them to:

Secretary of Environmental Affairs 251 Causeway Street Boston, MA 02114 Attention: MEPA Unit MEPA #12617

If you have questions regarding this project, please do not hesitate to contact the MEPA office at 617-626-1000.

Very truly yours, Earth Tech, Inc.

Thomas E. Parece, P.E. Senior Project Director

Enclosures

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
Section	Ī		
LETTI	ER OF T	TRANSMITTAL SUMMARY	ES-1
EXEC	UTIVE	SUMMARY JRES	v
LIST (	OF FIGU	JRESLES	V1
LIST (	OF TAB	LES	
1.0	INFO	RMATION UPDATE REQUEST OF THE PHASE I MEPA CERTIFICATE	1 1
		NEEDS ANALYSIS DISCUSSION UPDATE	1-1 1 1
	A.	NEEDS ANALYSIS DISCUSSION UPDATE	1-1 1_1
		a Ti a Cu - A molytical Approach - Nating Citoria International	1-1
		2. First Stage Analytical Approach - Soils, Groundwater 3. Second Stage Analytical Approach - Soils, Groundwater	1-3
		3. Second Stage Analytical Approach - Soils, Groundwater and Age/Lot Evaluation	1-8
		and Age/Lot Evaluation4. Results of Needs Analysis	
		1, 1,000	1-26
	В.	WASTEWATER FLOW UPDATE	
	ъ.	11222 -	1-29
	C.	DISPOSAL SITE ALTERNATIVES	••••
	Ο.	WATER SUPPLY	1-29
	D.	WATER SUPPLY	
		CONTROL (TIME OPDER #385)	1-29
	E.	PLANNING FOR GROWTH (EXECUTIVE ORDER #385)	
		NTIFY AND DISCUSS ALTERNATIVES FOR WASTEWATER DISPOSAL	
2.0	IDE!	NTIFY AND DISCUSS ALTERNATIVES FOR WHOTE	
		INTRODUCTION	2-1
	A.	INTRODUCTION	
		OPTIMIZE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF	- 1
	В.	OPTIMIZE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF EXISTING ON-SITE SYSTEMS	2-1
		EXISTING ON-SITE SYSTEMS  1. Repair/Upgrade Existing On-Site Systems	2-2
		Repair/Upgrade Existing On-Site Systems     Conventional Title 5 System	2-4
	<i>a</i>	WASTEWATER COLLECTION, TREATMENT AND	2.6
	C.	WASTEWATER COLLECTION, TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL ALTERNATIVES	2.6
			2-12
		Flow and Waste Reduction     Decentralized Facilities	2-34
		Decentralized Facilities     Analysis of On-Site Alternatives	2-37
		Analysis of On-Site Alternatives      Configurations and Alternative Sewer Systems	2-41
		4. Configurations and Alternative Sewer Systems. 5. Wastewater Treatment, Disposal, Reuse and Land Applications	2-63
		Wastewater Treatment, Disposal, Reuse and Land Applications     Existing Wastewater Infrastructure.      Treatment Facilities	2-72
		6. Existing Wastewater Infrastructure	2-95
		7. Existing Wastewater Treatment Facilities  8. Potential Reuse Opportunities	2-98
		8. Potential Reuse Opportunities	•••••

			Page
Section	<u>n</u>	DECHARGE	2-107
	D.	WASTEWATER REUSE FOR ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE  1. General	2-107
		1. General II. as for Artificial Recharge	2-107
		2. General Requirements for Waslewater Osage for Fixed	2-110
		Wastewater Treatment Levels and Technologies      Wastewater Recharge/Drinking Water Reuse Experience	2-117
	E.	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND GROUNDWATER RECHARGE INITIATIVES	2-124
			2-125
	F.	SHORT LIST OF ALTERNATIVES	2-125
		1. General	2-127
		2. Technical Considerations	2-130
		3. Environmental Benefits	2-131
		Economic Considerations      Water Balance Impacts	2-132
3.0	SCR	EENING OF SITES FOR TREATMENT AND/OR DISPOSAL	0.1
	A.	CRITERIA DEVELOPMENT	3-1
	-	SITE IDENTIFICATION	3-12
	В.	SITE IDENTIFICATION	2 16
		1. General	2 16
		2. Surfside Wastewater Treatment Facility3-16	2-17
		3. FAA Site – Massasoit Bridge Road4. UMASS Site	3_17
		4. UMASS Site	3-17
		5. Quidnet – No. 1 Site	3_17
		6. Quidnet – No. 2 Site	3-17
		7. Pocomo Road Site	3-18
		8. Milestone Road – "Clear-Cut Site"	3-18
		9. Tom Nevers – Naval Station Site	3-18
		10. Siasconset WWTF Site	3-18
		11. Airport Site	3-18
		12. Wauwinet Road Site	3-18
		13. Wauwinet – Quidnet Roadways	3-19
		15. Madaket – Warren's Landing Area Rouding Street	
	D	PRELIMINARY SITE SCREENING	3-19
	ט.	PRELIMINARY SITE SCREENING	3-19
		Environmentally Sensitive Areas      Archaeological and Historical Resources	3-20
		Archaeological and Historical Resources     MCP Phase I Site Assessment	3-20
		MCP Phase I Site Assessment      Soil Suitability and Geologic Evaluation	3-20
		4. Soil Suitability and Geologic Evaluation  5. Sensitive Receptors	3-20
		Sensitive Receptors      Hydrogeologic Evaluations      Hydrogeologic Evaluations	3-22
		Hydrogeologic Evaluations     Historical Shoreline Analysis at Surfside WWTF	

Section			Page
Section	E. ID	ENTIFICATION OF FEASIBLE SITES BASED ON SCREENING ANALYSIS	
	ъ ст	JMMARY	3-25
4.0	EVAL	JUATION OF SHORT LISTED ALTERNATIVES	
		ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION	4-1
	A.		
		1. Introduction	4-1
		3. Recommendations based on Environmental Evaluation Cherta	
	_	EVALUATION OF COSTS	4-10
	В.		
		Operation and Maintenance Costs	4-14 1 15
		Wastewater Treatment Facilities Alternative Costs      Collection and Transmission Alternative Costs	4-13
		INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS	
	C.		
		General     Institutional and System Management Procedures	4-27
	D.	RESIDUALS DISPOSAL	
		LOCATION OF FACILITIES	4-30
	E.		
		Madaket WWTF – FAA Site      Surfside WWTF Expansion	4-31
		2. Surfside WWTF Expansion	4-31
		PHASED CONSTRUCTION	
	F.		
	G.	FLEXIBILITY AND RELIABILITY	4-32
	H.	IMPLEMENTATION CAPABILITY	4-32
	I.	REGULATORY, DESIGN AND RELIABILITY REQUIREMENTS	4-33
5.0	REC	COMMENDED PLAN	
	A.	DETAILED RECOMMENDED PLAN  1. Introduction  2. Study Areas  3. Wastewater Treatment Facilities  4. Existing Pump Stations	5-1 5-15 5-39
	В.	INSTITUTIONAL IMPACTS	

		O WALLEY	
			Page
<u>Section</u>			5.40
		ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	5-40
	C.	1. General Conservation and Recreation	5-40
		General     Historical, Archaeological, Cultural, Conservation and Recreation	5-40
		<ol> <li>Historical, Archaeological, Cultural, Conservation and Recreation</li> <li>Wetlands, Flood Plains and Agricultural Lands</li> <li>Wetlands, Flood Plains and Agricultural Lands</li> </ol>	5-41
			3-41
		4. Zones of Contribution of Existing and property of Existing and Prope	5 A1
		5. Surface and Groundwater Resources Including Nantucket and Madaket Harbor Watersheds	3-41 5 /1
		Madaket Harbor Watersheds	3-41
		6. Displacement of Households, Businesses and Boy 1976.  7. Noise Pollution, Air Pollution, Odor and Public health Issues Associated	5_41
		7. Noise Pollution, Air Pollution, Odor and Public health Issues Fiscotation with Construction and Operation	5-41
		with Construction and Operation	1 5-41
		Decidetions and Plans Imposed by Such Statutes and 2	
		9. Changes if Development and Land Use Patterns	5-42
		9. Changes if Development and Land Use Patterns 10. Pollution Stemming from Changes in Land Use	5-42
		10. Pollution Stemming from Changes in Land Use  11. Damage to Sensitive Ecosystems	5-42
		<ol> <li>Damage to Sensitive Ecosystems.</li> <li>Socioeconomic for Expansion.</li> </ol>	
		12, bottom	5-42
	D.	CAPITAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS	5-42
	12.	CAPITAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS  1. Capital Costs  1. Capital Costs	5-45
		Capital Costs      Operation and Maintenance Costs	
			5-46
	E.	IMPLEMENTATION PLAN	
6.0	DRA	FT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT	
		PROJECT DESCRIPTION	6-1
	A.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
		1. General	6-1
		1. General	6-1
		2. Summary 3. Needs Areas	6-1
		Needs Areas     Disposal Site Alternatives	6-2
		4. Disposal Site Alternatives	6-2
		5. Threshold Exceedances	( )
		WATER SUPPLY	6-2
	В.	WATER SUPPLY	6-2
		1. General	6-2
		Existing Conditions     Proposed Water Use	6-2
		3. Proposed water obc	<i>C C</i>
	C.	EXECUTIVE ORDER 385/PLANNING FOR GROWTH	6-0

Section	<u>1</u>	Page
7.0	REVIEW OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROGRAM	
	A. GENERAL	7-1
	B. PUBLIC MEETING	
	C. RESPONSIVENESS SUMMARIES	1-2
	D. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC COMMENTS RECEIVED	7.2
	DURING THE MEPA PROCESS	/-3
	E. CIRCULATION LIST	/-4
8.0	SRF GRANT/LOAN ADMINISTRATION	8-1
	LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure		<u>Page</u>
rigure		
1-1	Typical Lot Configuration	1-6
2-1	Conventional Title 5 System	2-5
2-2	Typical STEP System	2-14
2-3	Subsurface Cluster System	2-15
2-4	Recirculating Sand Filter	2-20
2-5	Amphidrome <sup>TM</sup> Process	2-22
2-6	Bioclere <sup>TM</sup> System	2-24
2-7	Cromaglass® System	2-26
2-8	RUCK® System	2-29
2-9	Single Home FAST®	2-30
2-10	Typical Grinder Pump Unit	2-39
2-11	Surfside WWTF Flows and Precipitation	2-78
2-12	Watershed Sub-Basins	2-140
3-1	Potential Wastewater Treatment and/or Disposal Sites	3-13
3-2	Natural Resources and Environmentally Sensitive Areas	3-15
5-1	Madaket Study Area	5-3
5-2	Warren's Landing Study Area	
5-3	Monomoy Study Area	
5-4	Somerset Study Area	
5-5	Shimmo Study Area	
5-6	Wastewater Treatment Facility Locations	5-10
5-7	Surfside WWTF Site Location	5-19
5-8	Surfside WWTF Process Layout	5-20
5-9	Siasconset WWTF Site Location	5.20
5-10	Siasconset WWTF Process Layout	5 2 A
5-11	Madaket WWTF Site Location	5-34
5-12	Madaket WWTF Process Layout	
5-13	Capital Improvement Program – FY 2004-2014	3-49

#### LIST OF TABLES

Tabla		<u>Page</u>
<u>Table</u>		1 /
1-1	Rating Criteria Point Per Developed Lot	1 0
1-2		
1-3	g. 1 A Town Westerwater Disposal Ontion	
1-4	- 1 TTT / Law Eleves by Ctudy Area	.,
1-5	The state of the s	
2-1	CAL itaming Desults Versus Treatment Requirements	2 32
2-2	CANTAL Ovolity Criteria For Class B Streams	
2-3	TECC A Limitations	
2-4	Class 1 Groundwater Permit Standards	2-49
2-5	Areas Required For Surface Application of Treated Effluent	2-49
2-6	Areas Required For Subsurface Application of Treated Effluent	2-66
2-7	Wastewater Pumping Stations Survey Summary	2-74
2-8	Massachusetts Groundwater Discharge Permit No. 1-200 Limits	2-75
2-9	Massachusetts Groundwater Discharge 1 chilit 140. 1 200 Emilion Surfside WWTF Design Data	2-77
2-10	Surfside WWTF Design Data	2-80
2-11	Surfside WWTF Performance – 1999	2-81
2-12	Surfside WWTF Performance – 1999 Surfside WWTF Performance – 2000	2-82
2-13	Surfside WWTF Performance – 2000	2-83
2-14	Surfside WWTF Performance – 2001  Surfside WWTF Performance – 2002  Surfside WWTF Serviceability Ratings	2-84
2-15	Surfside WWTF Serviceability Ratings  Surfside WWTF Evaluation of Process Equipment – Headworks	2-85
2-16	Surfside WWTF Evaluation of Process Equipment – Primary Clarifiers	2-85
2-17	Surfside WWTF Evaluation of Process Equipment – Filling Building Surfside WWTF Evaluation of Process Equipment – Solids Handling Building	2-87
2-18	Surfside WWTF Evaluation of Process Equipment – Solds Handling Building Surfside WWTF Evaluation of Process Equipment – Sludge Management Building	2-88
2-19	Surfside WWTF Evaluation of Process Equipment – Odor Control	2-90
2-20	Surfside WWTF Evaluation of Process Equipment – CEPT Building  Surfside WWTF Evaluation of Process Equipment – CEPT Building	2-91
2-21	Surfside WWTF Evaluation of Process Equipment – Miscellaneous	2-92
2-22	Surfside WWTF Evaluation of Process Equipment – Misconaneous Miternative Summary	2-126
2-23	Alternative Summary Water Withdrawals	2-133
2-24	va 1 T t- 2001 Approl	2 10 .
2-25		
2-26		,,,,,,,,, = ~
2-27		
2-28	Charles The state of the state	–
3-1		
3-2	The CD 1' diagram Concerning	
3-3	TTT I The street and for Dienogal Sites	
3-4	- /Ali	
4-1	a contact of the Deviation System Hyalistical	
4-2	To the Prophetical	
4-3	Granda WWTE Alternatives - Estimated Construction Costs	4-14
4-4 4-5	Technology And American Alternatives Festimated Project Costs, Operation and	
4-5	Madaket Study Area Alternatives - Estimated Troject Costs, of Maintenance Costs and Present Worth Costs	4-17
	Manifeliance Costs and Frescht World Costs with	

	CW1122.7	
		<b>Page</b>
<u>Table</u>		
4-6	Monomoy Study Area Alternatives - Estimated Project Costs, Operation and Maintenance Costs and Present Worth Costs	4-18
4-7	Somerset Study Area Alternatives - Estimated Troject Costs, Spanish	4-20
4-8	Shimmo Study Area Alternatives - Estimated Project Costs, Tr	4-22
4-9	Maintenance Costs and Present World Costs	4-24
5-1	Summary of Recommended Flair	5-15
5-2	Typical WWTF Efficient Perfint Requirements	5-24
5-3	Surfside WWTF Design Criteria	5-31
5-4	Siasconset WWIF Design Chleria	5-37
5-5	Madaket WWTF Design Criteria	5-43
5-6	Summary of Estimated Project Costs	5-45
5-7	Bonding Scenarios	5-46
5-8	Estimated Operation and Maintenance Costs	6-3
6-1	Somerset Study Area Water Use Design Contribution	6-4
6-2 6-3	Somerset Study Area Water Use Design Conditions  Shimmo Study Area Water Use Design Conditions  Monomoy Study Area Water Use Design Conditions	6-5

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 1998, the Nantucket Department of Public Works retained Earth Tech, Inc. to prepare an Island-wide Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan/Environmental Impact Report (CWMP/EIR) to identify areas within the Island with sub-surface wastewater disposal problems and to develop a plan to mitigate or eliminate the problems. The Town established a special procedure for the review of this major and complicated project. This special procedure is a three-phase process during which the scope of future phases is based largely on the results of the preceding phase. The process consists of filing three documents: (1) Phase I, Needs Analysis; (2) Phase II, Alternatives and Site Identification and Draft Environment Impact Report; and (3) Phase III, Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan and Final Environmental Impact Report. The results of the Need Analysis and preliminary screening of alternatives are included in this Document. This Document and further, more detailed analyses during the final Phase will provide the basis for the design and ultimate construction of the approved plan.

This Document contains the results of extensive efforts by Earth Tech, Inc. and the Town of Nantucket to evaluate the available options for improving the existing on-site wastewater disposal systems. In order to obtain as much information as possible on the existing and projected land use, demographic conditions and population, Earth Tech Inc., coordinated efforts with the Nantucket Planning and Economic Development Commission (NP&EDC) and the Massachusetts Estuary Project (MEP). The goals of the NP&EDC's, "The Nantucket Comprehensive Plan", coupled with the on-going Massachusetts Estuary Project (MEP) have been utilized in evaluations and analyses for the community presented in this Document and have been an integral force in the formation for the recommendations herein.

The MEP is currently gathering data in the Nantucket Harbor and Sesachacha Pond areas in order to provide technical data relative to the maximum amount of nitrogen (nitrogen threshold) that each estuary can tolerate without adversely changing its character and use. Madaket Harbor is also being studied but at a later target date than the above-mentioned areas. MEP will set the target to be achieved in order to protect and restore the health of the estuaries. Study areas affected by the MEP include Wauwinet, Quidnet, Pocomo, and Polpis. Until the MEP data is completed, these areas will be recommended to stay with their current on-site wastewater disposal systems but managed under the Septage Management Plan currently under planning stages rather than an attempt a temporary solution and have to change when the MEP data is in. Once the MEP data is complete, these areas will be further evaluated for long-term recommendations. A recommended solution will be made for Madaket in this Report, which is based on multiple criteria, in addition to the MEP.

Other agencies utilized for information and considered herein are U.S. Soils Conservation Services, U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Coast Guard, local planning officials, the Nantucket Historic Commission, the Natural Heritage Program, and local Town boards including Assessors, Building Department, Board of Health, Public Works Department, Zoning Officials, and Nantucket Planning and Economic Development Commission.

The Phase I, Needs Analysis was completed and filed with MEPA in August 2001. An Environmental Notification Form (ENF) was filed with MEPA in October of 2001 as well. The Phase I Document determined the areas on Island incapable of sustaining long-term with on-site wastewater disposal systems throughout and beyond the 20-year planning period. There were ten Study Areas identified as Needs Areas:

Madaket Monomoy Pocomo Polpis Quidnet Shimmo
Pocomo
Polpis
Warrens Landing
Wauwinet

See the map at the end of this Executive Summary for a description and the challenge and solution for each of the ten identified Study Areas.

The scope of this Phase II CWMP/DEIR analyzed the selected alternatives in accordance with the revised scope that was issued by the Secretary of EOEA and comments received on the Phase I CWMP/EIR document. The MEPA Certificate, EOEA Number 12617, issued by the Secretary on November 16, 2001 asked for further clarification with regards to identification of Needs Areas and the methodology used in order to ensure the rankings and rationale for the Study Areas is clear and appropriate. The Secretary further clarified this "revised scope" in a letter dated May 17, 2002 where he states, "I hereby authorize you to submit a single filing that combines the Phase II document and the requested information updates and responses to comments received on the ENF and on the Phase I document". The Response to Comments can be found in Appendix A and Earth Tech update on the information request from the MEPA Certificate issued November 16, 2001 can be found in its entirety in Section 1.

This Phase II CWMP/DEIR document contains the preliminary investigation into the viability of siting wastewater treatment facility(s) and/or highly treated wastewater effluent disposal facilities in Nantucket. Site selection, for both the wastewater treatment facilities (WWTFs), and the effluent disposal field(s) is the most difficult to resolve. The screening criteria presented in this section were developed to assess the viability of 14 sites identified within Nantucket as potential wastewater treatment facility and/or wastewater disposal facility sites. The screening criteria used to evaluate these potential project sites was based upon eleven environmental criteria. The environmental screening criteria were chosen based upon review by the Project Proponent and upon comments received by the Proponent in the Secretary of the Executive Office of Environmental Affairs Certificate on the ENF dated October 2001. It was determined that by applying the screening criteria to the 14 identified sites a short list of selective potential sites would be established for additional evaluation through field testing. The screening criteria chosen to evaluate the potential project sites are: (1) wetlands; (2) soils; (3) drinking water supply - wellhead protection areas (Zone I and Zone II); (4) fisheries (including shellfish areas); (5) waterbodies (distance from surface water); (6) floodplains; (7) sensitive habitats; (8) park lands; (9) recreational resources; (10) agricultural/historical interests; (11) shoreline change data; and (12) in or adjacent to an Area of Critical Environmental Concern.

Wastewater treatment options were evaluated based on four levels of criteria. The first criteria, Technical Factors, included flow and loading, land/site requirements, suitability for groundwater discharge, climate, sludge disposal and ease of operation. The second criteria, Environmental Factors, included groundwater and permitting impacts. The third criteria, Institutional Factors, included community acceptance, regulatory and legal issues. The fourth criteria, Economic Factors, included construction cost and operations cost. Various wastewater treatment technologies were evaluated based on the above criteria and the Town of Nantucket's goals regarding the operation and maintenance of the facilities.

The Phase II CWMP/DEIR document presents recommendations for wastewater management in the above-mentioned ten identified areas of the Town of Nantucket where existing on-site wastewater disposal systems are shown to be inadequate for long-term wastewater disposal. Specific recommendations by Study Area have taken into account the appropriateness of utilizing: (1) innovative alternative systems; (2) communal systems; and (3) local wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal facilities. The Phase II CWMP/DEIR document evaluated the environmental impacts, technical design, institutional factors, and project costs associated with each alternative and recommends the appropriate solution to the wastewater disposal problems in the Town of Nantucket on a long term basis, with the exception of those areas included in the MEP Study Areas.

The Phase II CWMP/DEIR document recommends that the Town of Nantucket upgrade the existing Surfside Wastewater Treatment Facility, construct a new Madaket Wastewater Treatment Facility and provide sanitary sewer via low pressure sewers and/or gravity sewers to five needs areas (Madaket, Monomoy, Shimmo, Somerset and Warrens Landing), and to prepare a Septage Management Plan for the remainder of the Island. It is the most environmentally sound and cost-effective alternative and insures the sustainability of Nantucket's water resources for centuries to come. The recommended plan is estimated to be designed and constructed over a twelve-year period and has been divided into seven construction phases. The construction phases were developed based on: (1) the need of an area to be serviced; (2) funding constraints; and (3) minimizing construction related disruptions within the Town. The capital cost of the recommended plan is estimated at \$92.1 million and includes construction, engineering (design and construction), legal, fiscal, administrative, and contingency costs for providing sanitary sewerage to the five needs areas, and the construction of the Surfside WWTF upgrade and Madaket WWTF.

The Town of Nantucket is also in the process of evaluating and mapping its existing wastewater and stormwater infrastructure. This project has identified areas of the existing wastewater infrastructure that need to be rehabilitated in order to eliminate excessive infiltration and inflow from the system and areas of the existing stormwater infrastructure that needs be upgraded in order to eliminate street flooding and eliminate pollution from being discharge into Nantucket Harbor. It is recommended that the Town of Nantucket also implement the results of the Evaluation and Mapping Project. The capital cost of the recommended plan is \$83.3 million and includes construction, engineering (design and construction), legal, fiscal, administrative, and contingency costs for rehabilitation of existing wastewater and stormwater infrastructure.

The total O&M cost for the recommended plan identified in the Phase II CWMP/DEIR and Evaluation and Mapping Project is estimated at an average of \$2,730,000 per year. Costs are based upon present day, competitively bid construction work prices and on an Engineering News Record (ENR) Construction Cost Index of 6741 for September 2003.

The scope of the CWMP/FEIR Phase III document will present a final recommended plan and Final Environmental Impact Report based on the comments received during the public comment period for the CWMP/DEIR Phase II document. Appendix B contains the revised approved Scope of Work.

Page ES-4

### Challenge:

Z

Evaluate alternatives for a preservation of Nantucket Harbor, Madaket Harbor, environmental conditions of the sole source aquifer maintain and/or improve sustainability, protection An Island-wide study to while determining costs, and public health, and treatment and disposal wastewater collection, benefits for long-term Polpis Harbor and 20-year solution to needs of the Town. Solution:

COMPREHENSIVE WASTEWATER

**MANAGEMENT PLAN** 

NANTUCKET, MASSACHUSETTS

Area of Wastewater Disposal Need **Based on Wellhead Overlay** Protection Zone

esachacha Pond

Remainder of Island Septage Management Plan

### Town WPZ

Challenge • Wellhead Protection Zone • Private Water Supply & Wastewater Dispo iolution Septage Management Plan Area of Wastewater Disposal Need Based on Harbor Watershed Line

### Pocomo

High Groundwater Private Water Supply & Wastewater Disposal Challenge • Nantucket Harbor Watershed Solution Septage Management Plan

Study Area Bouckanes
Wacasaum Barners

LEGEND:

### Monomoy

Challenge

• Nantucket Harbor Watershed

• Private Water Supply & Wastewater Disposal
Solution Connect into Existing Wastewater System

Area of Wastewater Disposal New Harston New Wellhead Overlay Protection Zene Area of Wastenater Drepoval Need Based on Barbor Watershed Line Area of Wastennier Daywal Need Existing Wastewald Server Area

### Shimmo

Challenge
Namucket Harbor Watershed
- Private Water Supply & Wastewater Disposal
Salution
- Connect into Existing Wastewater System

### Challenge • Small Dones Lots • Madakel Harbor Watershed • Private Water Supply & Wastewater Disposal Solution • Decentralize Wastewater Treatment Madaket

Challenge
- Namucket Barbor Watershed
- Degradation of Polpis Harbor
- High Groundwater
- Private Water Supply & Wastewater Disposal Polpis

 Topography
 Small Dense Lots
 Private Water & Wastewater Disposal
Solution
 Seplage Management Plan Quidnet

Challenge - Small Dense Lots - Private Water & Wastewater Disposal Solution - Connect into Existing Wastewater System -Somerset

Warrens Landing

Wauwinet

Siasconset Currently Sewered

SMSCONSET

NANTUCKET HARBOR-

POCOMO

Town Currently Sewered

LOW

TOM NEVERS

SOMERSET

-MADAKET WATER PROTECTION DISTRICT

Prepared For: Town of Nantucket, Department of Public Works, 188 Madaket Road, Nantucket, MA 02554
For Additional Information Contact: Mr. Jeffrey Willett, Director, Department of Public Works, 508-228-7244
Prepared By: Earth Tech, Inc., 196 Baker Avenue, Concord, MA 01742, Contact: Mr. Thomas Parece, P.E., 978-371-4142
Date Prepared: June 2003

## Area of Wastewater Disposal Need

Challenge

Madaket Harbor Watershed

Smill Dense Lots

Firvate Wastewater Disposal
Solution

Decentralize Wastewater Treatment

Challenge
- Small Dense Lots
- Private Water Supply & Wastewater Disposal
Solution
- Septage Management Plan